

## RFC Editor Report

72nd IETF Meeting  
Dublin, Ireland

## RFC Editor Office Hours at IETF 72

Monday - Wednesday

9:30 - 4:30

## Sunday Tutorial Session Participation

- Introduction to xml2rfc Tutorial

Time: 1:00 - 2:45

- Document Lifecycle Tutorial

Time: 3:00 - 4:45

---

- The RFC Editor web site is now live using IPv6.
  - There are AAAA records for [www.rfc-editor.org](http://www.rfc-editor.org) and [rfc-editor.org](http://rfc-editor.org).
- <http://www.rfc-editor.org/queue.html> has been reorganized to be divided by stream
- The RFC publication announcement has been updated to be more clear and to provide information via URLs rather than email-based retrieval. The new text went into use May 29th.

- Errata Process
  - Updated the errata process proposal available as draft-rfc-editor-errata-process-02.txt
    - send comments to [rfc-interest@rfc-editor.org](mailto:rfc-interest@rfc-editor.org)
  - Receiving feedback and making relevant changes to the errata system
  - Adapting the system to handle the IESG's verification process
  - Submit errata at: <http://www.rfc-editor.org/errata.php>

The following status reports are now available from  
<http://www.rfc-editor.org/RFCeditor.html> :

RFC Editor Report -- June 2008  
MISSREF Statistics -- June 2008  
Errata Report -- 11 July 2008

**March 1 - June 30, 2008**

<u>Processed</u>	<u>Docs</u>	<u>Pages</u>
DNPs/Withdrawals:	5	
<b>Total Published:</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>~2469</b>

<u>Submissions</u>	<u>Docs</u>
IETF Submissions:	79
IAB Submissions:	1
IRTF Submission	4
Individual Submissions:	16
Independent Submissions:	7
<b>Total Submissions:</b>	<b>107</b>

---

	Count in State	Median Wks in State	Max Wks
■ Editable:	15	1.1#	*
■ Await final RFC review:	10	1.8	2.1
■ Await Norm. Ref(s):	44	*	*
■ Await author (AUTH48):	20	1.6	16.1

# : Was 3.0 weeks at IETF 68

Was 3.8 weeks at IETF 69

Was 2.4 weeks at IETF 70

Was 0.4 weeks at IETF 71

\*: Not meaningful because of Norm Ref holds

---

# RFC *Editor*


## Monthly RFC Editor Statistics

2008 - 2007	Jun	May	Apr	Mar	Feb	Jan
<b>[New Arrivals: Standards Track]</b>						
Working Group	23	20	8	10	7	6
Non-Working Group	2	0	1	0	3	1
<b>[New Arrivals: Info &amp; Expr]</b>						
Working Group	4	7	3	4	0	7
Non-Working Group	4	10	6	5	3	7
<b>*Total Submitted</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>[Published: Standards Track]</b>						
Working Group	16	7	4	8	15	18
Working Group DNP/Withdrawals	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Working Group	0	1	1	3	1	5
Non-Working Group DNP/Withdrawals	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>[Published: Info &amp; Expr]</b>						
Working Group	7	4	9	8	5	7
Working Group DNP/Withdrawals	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Working Group	3	6	3	8	9	3
Non-Working Group DNP/Withdrawals	2	0	1	2	0	0
<b>*Total Published</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>*Remaining at the End of this Month</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>104</b>



<b>~4-Month Period</b>	<b>Submitted/ Month</b>	<b>Published/ Month</b>	<b>Reported @</b>
Mar - Jun06	31.25	39.5	IETF 66
July - Sep06	23.67	33.33	IETF 67
Oct06 - Feb07	27.2	27.2	IETF 68
Mar - Jun07	40.5	26.25	IETF 69
Jul - Oct07	21.25	35.25	IETF 70
Nov07 - Feb08	20	25.5	IETF 71
<b>Mar - Jun08</b>	<b>26.75</b>	<b>23.25</b>	<b>IETF 72</b>

Number of RFC documents Published per Year



2004: 281

2005: 327

2006: 459

2007: 382

YTD: 165

# RFC *Editor*

---

Thank you.

- Web site: <http://www.rfc-editor.org/>
- Interest list: [rfc-interest@rfc-editor.org](mailto:rfc-interest@rfc-editor.org)
  - This list was created to facilitate community discussion on the RFC series and to make suggestions about RFC Editor functions. The RFC Editor hopes that this mailing list will provide a focal point for input, information, and discussion about the details of the RFC process, as well as an archive to avoid the continual re-hashing of some issues. Topics appropriate to this list may include formatting, tools, style, content, and indexing aspects of the RFC series. It will not be used for discussion of the IETF standards process, general IETF organizational issues, or issues for which the NOTE WELL admonition is needed for IPR reasons. The posting policy is subscriber-only to reduce spam. It will initially be unmoderated, but the RFC Editor will cut off any discussions that are inappropriate according to the guidelines above.